	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/613,639	MONTGOMERY, ALAN BRUCE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>All</u>	owed
(1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Hana Verny, J. D.</u>	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 December 2006	Time: ~3:30 pm EST	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 12, 13, 15, 21-25, 27, and 29.		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all-issues. A brief summa 	e examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Ms. Hana Verny, J. D. to obtain permission for Examiner's amendments to put the instant application in condition for allowance (see Examiner's Amendment). Ms. Verny granted permission to the Examiner for the proposed amendments..

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		MONTGOMERY, ALAN BRUCE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616	
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allo	<u>wed</u>	
(1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.	(3)		
(2) Ms. Hana Verny, J. D.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 December 2006	Time: ~7:00 pm EST		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	Applicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 15 and 27			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
	•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Attorney Verny to obtain permission for an Examiner's amendment to claim 15 to make the claim language more concise and easier to read and to claim 27 to clarify the amount of allowable impurities in the composition claimed. Ms. Verny approved the proposed amendments (See Examiner's Answer)..